

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT FOR JOURNAL EKONOMSKI VJESNIK / ECONVIEWS – REVIEW OF CONTEMPORARY BUSINESS, ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND ECONOMIC ISSUES

The ethical principles of the journal Ekonomski Vjesnik / Econviews – Review of Contemporary Business, Entrepreneurship and Economic Issues are aligned with internationally recognized best practices in publication ethics, including the principles and recommendations of COPE. These ethical principles are binding for the Editorial Board, reviewers, and authors.

Editorial Board Responsibilities

Accountability

The Editor-in-Chief holds final responsibility for decisions regarding the acceptance or rejection of submitted manuscripts and is accountable for all content published in the journal. Editorial decisions are based on the scientific quality, originality, relevance, and significance of the manuscript, in accordance with the journal's editorial policies and ethical standards.

The Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board are committed to maintaining the integrity of the academic record and will take appropriate action in cases of suspected misconduct, including publishing corrections, clarifications, expressions of concern, or retractions when necessary. Editorial policies are aligned with internationally recognized best practices in publication ethics, including the principles and recommendations of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Fairness and impartiality

Manuscripts are evaluated exclusively on their intellectual and scientific merit, without regard to the authors' race, gender, nationality, ethnic origin, religious beliefs, sexual orientation, or political views. Editorial decisions are made independently and objectively, ensuring a fair and unbiased peer review process.

Confidentiality

The Editor-in-Chief, members of the Editorial Board, and editorial staff treat all submitted manuscripts as confidential documents. Information about a manuscript under consideration is not disclosed to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Unpublished materials or ideas disclosed in submitted manuscripts must not be used for personal research or advantage without the explicit written consent of the author(s).

Conflicts of interest and disclosure

Editors are required to disclose any potential conflicts of interest arising from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with authors, institutions, or organizations connected to a submitted manuscript. In such cases, editors recuse themselves from handling the manuscript, and editorial responsibility is delegated to another qualified editor or member of the Editorial Board.

All contributors are required to disclose relevant competing interests. If undisclosed conflicts of interest are identified after publication, appropriate actions will be taken, including the publication of corrections, expressions of concern, or retractions, in line with internationally accepted ethical standards.

Integrity of the peer review process

The Editorial Board is responsible for ensuring a fair, timely, and appropriate peer review process. Reviewers are selected based on their expertise, and conflicts of interest are avoided.

The journal follows internationally recognized ethical principles in cases involving suspected plagiarism, duplicate publication, data fabrication or falsification, or other forms of research and publication misconduct, with reference to best-practice guidelines such as those issued by COPE.

Involvement in investigations

Editors actively cooperate in investigations of ethical concerns related to submitted or published manuscripts. Reasonable and timely measures are taken to address ethical complaints and to protect the integrity of the published record.

Authors' Responsibilities

Standards

Manuscripts submitted for publication must comply with accepted methodological, ethical, and technical standards of scientific writing, as well as with the journal's Author Guidelines. Authors must present an accurate and transparent account of the work performed and provide an objective discussion of its significance.

All data presented in the manuscript must be accurate, reliable, and authentic. Authors must ensure that the manuscript contains sufficient detail and appropriate references to enable others to replicate the research where applicable.

Authorship

Authorship should be limited to individuals who have made significant intellectual contributions to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the research and to the writing of the manuscript. Submission of a manuscript implies that all listed authors have approved the final version and consented to its submission.

The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that:

- all appropriate co-authors are included,
- no inappropriate individuals are listed as authors,
- all co-authors have reviewed and approved the manuscript prior to submission.

Contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be acknowledged appropriately.

Originality, Plagiarism, and Citation

Authors are required to submit only original manuscripts. Any use of the work or ideas of others, whether quoted directly or paraphrased, must be clearly and accurately cited. Plagiarism in any form, including self-plagiarism and unethical borrowing of content, is unacceptable.

The Editorial Board uses plagiarism detection tools to verify the originality of submissions. If plagiarism is identified, the corresponding author will be notified, and the manuscript may be rejected.

By submitting a manuscript to the journal, authors confirm that:

- the work has not been published previously, except in the form of a preprint, abstract, academic thesis, published lecture, or registered report,
- the manuscript is not under consideration for publication elsewhere,
- the publication of the manuscript is approved by all authors and, where applicable, by the responsible authorities at the institution where the research was conducted,
- if accepted, the manuscript will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in any language or medium, without the written consent of the copyright holder.

Submitted manuscripts may be screened using appropriate tools to ensure compliance with the journal's editorial and ethical policies.

Accountability and data availability

Authors must be prepared to provide raw data to the Editorial Board for review upon request. Where practicable, authors should allow access to such data in accordance with internationally

recognized best practices on data availability and transparency. Authors are expected to retain research data for a reasonable period after publication.

Corrections and Retractions

If an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in their published work, they are obliged to promptly notify the Editor-in-Chief and cooperate with the Editorial Board in issuing corrections, clarifications, or retractions, where appropriate.

If concerns regarding errors or inaccuracies are raised by a third party, authors must provide evidence supporting the validity of the work or submit corrections in a timely manner. The Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to retract a publication if serious issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors must disclose any financial or non-financial relationships or circumstances that could be perceived as influencing the interpretation of the research results. All sources of financial, institutional, or other support for the research must be clearly acknowledged in the manuscript.

Funding sources

Authors must disclose all sources of financial support for the research and/or preparation of the manuscript if such funding exists. The role of funding bodies or sponsors, where applicable, should be stated in relation to the study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, and the decision to submit the article for publication.

The journal has a separate policy regarding the use of generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools in manuscript preparation, which authors are required to follow.

Reviewers' Responsibilities

Review Process

Each submitted manuscript undergoes a **double-blind peer review** by at least two independent reviewers. The identities of both authors and reviewers are kept anonymous throughout the review process in order to ensure impartiality and objectivity.

Contribution to editorial decisions

Peer review assists the Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board in making informed editorial decisions. Through constructive and objective feedback, reviewers also contribute to improving the quality, clarity, and scientific value of submitted manuscripts.

Expertise and promptness

Reviewers should accept review invitations only if they have sufficient expertise in the subject area of the manuscript and are able to complete the review within the agreed timeframe (typically 3–6 weeks).

Reviewers who feel unqualified to assess the manuscript or anticipate that they will be unable to provide a timely review should promptly notify the editor and decline the invitation, allowing alternative reviewers to be appointed.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively and professionally. Personal criticism of the author(s) is inappropriate. Reviewers are expected to express their views clearly and support their evaluations with reasoned arguments and, where appropriate, references to relevant literature.

Confidentiality

Manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Reviewers must not disclose, discuss, or use any information contained in the manuscript for personal or professional advantage or for any purpose other than providing an objective review, unless explicitly authorized by the editor.

Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors and notify the editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under review and other published or submitted works of which they are aware. Any claims of prior publication should be supported by appropriate references.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Reviewers must disclose any potential conflicts of interest arising from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with any of the authors, institutions, or organizations associated with the manuscript.

Reviewers should not evaluate manuscripts in which such conflicts exist. Any privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must remain confidential and must not be used for personal advantage.

Ethical concerns

If reviewers identify suspected ethical issues, including plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or other forms of research misconduct, they are obliged to inform the editor promptly and provide supporting evidence where possible.

